	Case 2:08-cv-00490-RAJ D	Oocument 3	Filed 04/15/08	Page 1 of 2
01				
02				
03				
04				
05				
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
08	DYLAN JAMES DOWNEY,) CA	SE NO.: C08-049	0-RAJ
09	Petitioner,)		
10	v.) RE	PORT AND REC	OMMENDATION
11	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMEN OF CORRECTIONS,	NT)		
12	Respondent.))		
13				
14	Petitioner Dylan James Downey is currently incarcerated at the Snohomish County Jail in			
15	Everett, Washington where he is serving a term of confinement imposed by the Washington			
16	Department of Corrections as a sanction for violations of conditions of his prior release. He has			
17	filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in which he identifies four			
18	grounds on which he believes he is entitled to relief from his current confinement.			
19	The United States Supreme Court has made clear that state remedies must first be			
20	exhausted on all issues raised in a federal habeas corpus petition. Rose v. Lundy, 455 U.S. 509			
21	(1982); 28 U.S.C. §2254(b), (c). The exhaustion requirement is not deemed satisfied until			
22	petitioner demonstrates that each of his federal claims has been presented to the state's highest			
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1			

Case 2:08-cv-00490-RAJ Document 3 Filed 04/15/08 Page 2 of 2

court or that no state remedy is available. *Batchelor v. Cupp*, 693 F.2d 859, 863 (9th Cir. 1982). The exhaustion requirement is a matter of comity, intended to afford the state courts "the first opportunity to remedy a constitutional violation." Sweet v. Cupp, 640 F.2d 233, 236 (9th Cir. 1981).

It appears from the face of the petition that petitioner has never presented any of his federal 06 habeas claims to this state's highest court for review. Petitioner's federal habeas claims are therefore unexhausted. Accordingly, this Court recommends that petitioner's federal habeas petition be dismissed without prejudice. This Court further recommends that petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied as moot. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

DATED this 14th day of April, 2008.

United States Magistrate Judge

15

01

02

03

04

05

09

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2